UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,016	03/19/2004	King Chung	15353US02	7796
	7590 05/16/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
	DISON STREET	BOCKELMAN, MARK		
CHICAGO, IL	60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3766	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/805,016		CHUNG ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Mark W. Bocke	lman	3766				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commui r Reply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum see to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, ho apply and will expir ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1)☑	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 Oct	oher 2007 an	d 25 January 200	2				
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 October 2007 and 25 January 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′ —		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
ا ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the	annlication							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requir	ement.					
		311311 3113, 31	orodiori roquii						
	on Papers	_							
•	The specification is objected to by the								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		•	-					
	Applicant may not request that any obje			-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_	•			, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exar	miner. Note tr	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		۸√	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-3-2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 25-31 are withdrawn (canceled?) from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1-25-2008 but appears to have canceled the non-elected claims since the amendment cancels all text associated with the claims and merely has "(withdrawn)" in their place.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 9-11, 13-14, 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Miller 6,620,094.

Miller teaches a system that enhances the performance of an implantable hearing aid which may be an electrical stimulator (column 1, lines 35-40). With respect to figure 3, the examiner considers the input devices to comprise omnidirectional microphone 312, acoustic source 107, wireless (directional microphone 304) and wireless source 305 (telecoil). A second processor in the form of a speech processor is shown at 311. Inferface system 301 may contain its own circuitry and software to provide input source selection by masking out various sources and thus selecting the strongest input mode and extracting out the best signal fed into the processor. See column 6 line 50 to column 7 line 24. The examiner considers this embodiment to constitute an automatic switching of sources. In addition, user selected switching may be used. Applicant provides no details as to their means for switching and thus the examiner considers such to be inherent to Miller or at least obvious. Both processors are depicted as housed in the same case 306 as well as omnidirection microphone with input devices 108, 313 and 305 in another case. Regarding claims 21 and 23, one can pick and select components within the first processor unit such as the input lines and consider them part of the second processor with the remaining circuitry as part of the second processor. The limitation merely provides for labeling components any way you wish. For claim 24, embodiment 5 shows a volume control (eg. amplification device positioned after the mode selection circuit. To have included amplification as part of the

Art Unit: 3766

second processor is inherent or otherwise obvious. To have placed the signal processors on the same chip would have been obvious.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6-8, 12, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller 6,620,094 alone or in view of Griffith et al. USPN 6,842,647.

To have placed the signal processors on the same chip would have been obvious to ease manufacturing. Providing impedance matching between interface system 301 of Miller and the speech processor would be a notoriously old and well know to prevent unwanted reflections. Alternatively, to have modified Miller so as to provide an implanted speech processor within implantable portion 306 of Miller and provided an impedance matching circuit between the interface unit and the implant device would have been an obvious improvement as a well known alternative demonstrated by Griffith et al USPN 6,842,647.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,016 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766 May 12, 2008